

## Solutions to tutorial exercises for stochastic processes

- T1. (a) Let  $A_n$  be the event that there exists a self-avoiding path starting in 0 of length  $n$  of which every vertex has spin 1. Then  $A_n$  is measurable, since it only depends on finitely many vertices, i.e., the vertices inside  $B_n$ , the ball of radius  $n$  around 0. We now have

$$\{0 \longleftrightarrow \infty\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

which is measurable as a countable intersection of measurable sets.

- (b) The number of self-avoiding walks of length  $n$  starting in 0 can be bounded by  $4^n$ , since in every step of the path there are at most 4 directions to choose from. Let  $\Gamma_n$  be the set of self-avoiding paths of length  $n$  starting in 0. It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}_p(0 \longleftrightarrow \infty) \leq \mathbb{P}_p(A_n) \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \mathbb{P}_p(\omega_v = 1 \text{ for all } v \in \gamma) \leq 4^n p^n.$$

By taking  $p < 1/4$ , the above bound goes to 0 for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{P}_p(0 \longleftrightarrow \infty) = 0$  for all  $p < 1/4$  and that  $p_c \geq 1/4$ .

- (c) We define a  $*$ -path to be a sequence of vertices  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $\|x_i - x_{i-1}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Similarly, we define a  $*$ -circuit of length  $n$  to be a sequence of vertices  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $x_0 = x_n$  and such that  $\|x_i - x_{i-1}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $0 \not\longleftrightarrow \infty$ , then we can find a  $*$ -circuit  $\pi$  of vertices with spin 0 such that 0 lies in the interior of the circuit. Suppose the circuit has length  $n$ , then  $\pi$  contains a vertex  $(0, k)$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . It follows that there exists a self-avoiding  $*$ -path starting in  $(0, k)$  of length  $n - 1$  and of which every vertex has spin 0. Let  $\Gamma_{n-1}^k$  denote the set of all such  $*$ -paths. We have that  $|\Gamma_{n-1}^k| \leq 8^{n-1}$ , since a star path has 8 directions to choose from in each step. We find

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mathbb{P}_p(0 \longleftrightarrow \infty) &= \mathbb{P}_p(0 \not\longleftrightarrow \infty) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_p(\text{there exists a } *\text{-circuit } \pi \text{ of vertices with spin 0 around 0}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}_p(\exists \gamma \in \Gamma_{n-1}^k \text{ such that } \omega_v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \gamma) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n-1}^k} \mathbb{P}_p(\omega_v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \gamma) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n 8^{n-1} (1-p)^{n-1} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $p \uparrow 1$ . It follows that there exists some  $p_0 < 1$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_{p_0}(0 \longleftrightarrow \infty) \geq 1/2$ , and thus  $p_c \leq p_0 < 1$ .

T2. (a) Let  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  be two configurations with  $\eta \leq \xi$ . Suppose  $\eta(x) = \xi(x) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, \eta) &= \exp \left( -\beta \left( \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=\eta(y)\}} - \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x) \neq \eta(y)\}} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left( -\beta \left( \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi(x)=\xi(y)\}} - \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi(x) \neq \xi(y)\}} \right) \right) = c(x, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose  $\eta(x) = \xi(x) = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(x, \eta) &= \exp \left( -\beta \left( \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=\eta(y)\}} - \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x) \neq \eta(y)\}} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \exp \left( -\beta \left( \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi(x)=\xi(y)\}} - \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\xi(x) \neq \xi(y)\}} \right) \right) = c(x, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

(b) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \inf_{\eta} c(x, \eta) + c(x, \eta_x) \\ &= \inf_{\eta} \exp \left( -\beta \left( \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=\eta(y)\}} - \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x) \neq \eta(y)\}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \exp \left( -\beta \left( \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x) \neq \eta(y)\}} - \sum_{y \sim x} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=\eta(y)\}} \right) \right) \\ &= \min_{0 \leq k \leq 2d} \exp(-\beta(k - (2d - k))) + \exp(-\beta((2d - k) - k)) \\ &= \min_{0 \leq k \leq 2d} 2 \cosh(\beta(2d - 2k)) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $a(x, u) = 0$  whenever  $x \neq u$  and  $x \not\sim u$ . Now suppose  $x \sim u$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, u) &= \sup_{\eta} |c(x, \eta) - c(x, \eta_u)| \\ &= \sup_{\eta} \left| \exp \left( -\beta \left( \sum_{\substack{y \sim x \\ y \neq u}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x)=\eta(y)\}} - \sum_{\substack{y \sim x \\ y \neq u}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta(x) \neq \eta(y)\}} \right) \right) (e^{-\beta} - e^{\beta}) \right| \\ &= (e^{\beta(2d-1)})(e^{\beta} - e^{-\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$M = \sum_{u \neq x} a(x, u) = 2de^{2d\beta}(1 - e^{-2\beta}).$$

T3. Consider the bijection  $\phi : S \rightarrow S$  given by

$$\phi(\eta)(x) = \begin{cases} \eta(x) & \text{if } x \text{ even,} \\ \eta_x(x) & \text{if } x \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Define the generator  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\beta$  and its domain  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$  in the usual way. Then  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$  if and only if  $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$  since  $\phi(\eta_x) = \phi(\eta)_x$  and therefore

$$\sup_{\eta} |f(\phi(\eta_x)) - f(\phi(\eta))| = \sup_{\xi} |f(\xi_x) - f(\xi)|,$$

since  $\phi$  is a bijection. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} c_\beta(x, \phi(\eta)) &= \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{y: y \sim x} (2\phi(\eta)(x) - 1)(2\phi(\eta)(y) - 1) \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \beta \sum_{y: y \sim x} (2\eta(x) - 1)(2\eta(y) - 1) \right) = c_{-\beta}(x, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{L}_\beta(f \circ \phi) = \mathcal{L}_{-\beta}f$ . We know that the stochastic Ising model with parameter  $-\beta > 0$  is ergodic. Therefore there exists a unique invariant measure  $\mu$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$ , it holds that

$$0 = \int \mathcal{L}_{-\beta}f d\mu = \int \mathcal{L}_\beta(f \circ \phi) d\mu = \int \mathcal{L}_\beta f d\phi_\# \mu,$$

where  $\phi_\# \mu$  is the pushforward measure defined by  $\phi_\# \mu(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A))$ . It follows that  $\phi_\# \mu$  is an invariant measure for the model with parameter  $\beta < 0$ . It remains to show that  $\phi_\# \mu$  is the unique invariant measure. Suppose  $\nu$  is an invariant measure. Then for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L})$  we have

$$0 = \int \mathcal{L}_\beta f d\nu = \int \mathcal{L}_{-\beta}(f \circ \phi) d\nu = \int \mathcal{L}_{-\beta} f d\phi_\# \nu,$$

so that  $\phi_\# \nu$  is an invariant measure for the model with parameter  $-\beta > 0$ . Since this model is ergodic, it follows that  $\nu(\phi^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\phi$  is bijective, and since  $\phi^{-1} = \phi$ , it follows that  $\nu(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A))$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ . We conclude that  $\phi_\# \mu$  is the unique invariant measure.